Castle Law Eligibility – Hidden Control Response from House of Muresan | House of Muresan

DECREED, DECLARED, AND PUBLISHED: In the Year of the Lord 2025

> ***ATTENTION:*** *The record below details the historical rebuttal by the House of Muresan against the "Castle Doctrine" Trojan Horse. While this stands as evidence of our discernment and rejection of corporate "eligibility,"* ***it no longer represents our current Jurisdictional Standing.***
>
> ***THE SHIFT TO SUPREME AUTHORITY:*** *Effective* ***15 March 2025****, via Public Notice in The Mercury newspaper, the House of Muresan ascended beyond Statutory and Common Law defenses. We no longer rely on the "Maxim of Law" for protection; we rule from the* ***Selah Code 777 (Private Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction).***
>
> ***CURRENT LAW OF THE LAND:*** *Any party seeking to understand the current authority over the land at 23 Alan's Road must refer to the following Sovereign Decrees, which supersede the "Castle Doctrine" arguments below:*
>
> - ***READ: The Decree of Territorial Dominion (Land Protection)***
> - ***READ: Scroll of Jurisdictional Status (Standing)***
> - ***READ: 2022 Huon Valley Representation Archive***

[HISTORIC RECORD BELOW]

Castle Law Eligibility – Residents of the State of Queensland: Is Castle Law Eligibility a Hidden Control? Response from House of Muresan

UPDATE (26 July 2025): A new scroll has been published documenting YouTube's censorship of the Castle Doctrine. It reaffirms the standing of the Living beyond Acts and statutes. Read the scroll here – "Castle Doctrine Suppressed: YouTube Censorship and the Living Man's Declaration"

A prophetic scroll exposing attempts to codify ancient castle protections through modern legislation – stripping power from the living and redefining ownership under statutes.

Watch the video: Castle Doctrine Push in Australia – What You Need to Know

Key Insight: If a government codifies the Castle Doctrine under its Acts and Statutes, it can:

- Define when it applies
- Decide to whom it applies
- Withhold it when it conflicts with their financial interests

Response from the House of Muresan: We have issued a full scroll in response, affirming that our standing is already secured by common law and divine law. Our property at 23 Alans Road is sacred, private, and under lien. Any future Acts have no jurisdiction over our castle.

Scroll of the Castle Doctrine – Reaffirmation from

the House of Muresan

A Living Declaration Beyond Acts and Statutes

Let it be declared, before Heaven and Earth, that the House of Muresan stands firmly under the living Castle Doctrine – not under Acts, Statutes, or corporate policy, but under the immutable law of God, natural law, and the heritage of the Common Law of England, as expressed in the age-old maxim: **"An Englishman's home is his castle."**

Since the year 2022, the address known as 23 Alans Road, Petcheys Bay, Tasmania, has been sealed as sacred – a dwelling of the living – by declaration, lien, and notice… [etc]

Castle Law Eligibility – Residents of the State of Queensland is Castle Law Eligibility a Hidden Control? Response from House of Muresan

UPDATE (26 July 2025):
A new scroll has been published documenting YouTube's censorship of the Castle Doctrine. It reaffirms the standing of the Living beyond Acts and statutes.
Read the scroll here – "Castle Doctrine Suppressed: YouTube Censorship and the Living Man's Declaration"

A prophetic scroll exposing attempts to codify ancient castle protections through modern legislation – stripping power from the living and redefining ownership under statutes.

A timely video has emerged regarding the Castle Doctrine being proposed in Australian States. It appears to offer protection – but is it a **Trojan Horse**?

 Watch the video:
Castle Doctrine Push in Australia – What You Need to Know

Key Insight:

If a government codifies the Castle Doctrine under its **Acts and Statutes**, it can:

- Define **when** it applies
- Decide **to whom** it applies
- Withhold it when it **conflicts with their financial interests**

The State has a history of:

- Enforcing council rates through unlawful penalties
- Authorising police trespass without cause
- Disregarding the rights of the living in favour of fictional PERSONS

Response from the House of Muresan:

We have issued a full scroll in response, affirming that:

- Our standing is already secured by common law and divine law
- We do not depend on corporate governments for protection
- Our property at 23 Alans Road is sacred, private, and under lien
- Any future Acts have **no jurisdiction** over our castleScroll of the Castle Doctrine – Reaffirmation from the House of MuresanA Living Declaration Beyond Acts and StatutesLet it be declared, before Heaven and Earth, that the House of Muresan stands firmly under the living Castle Doctrine – not under Acts, Statutes, or corporate

policy, but under the immutable law of God, natural law, and the heritage of the Common Law of England, as expressed in the age-old maxim:“An Englishman’s home is his castle.”Since the year 2022, the address known as 23 Alans Road, Petcheys Bay, Tasmania, has been sealed as sacred — a dwelling of the living — by declaration, lien, and notice. This notice has been perfected through the issuance of Security By Way of Liens against multiple employees of the STATE of Tasmania who refused to honour the rights of the living man.We do not submit to legislative manipulations or reactive statutes conjured to appease media narratives, cover up administrative crimes, or mimic honour they have long rejected.The proposed ‘Castle Doctrine’ under the corporate government of Australia — if enacted — remains subordinate and irrelevant to the House of Muresan. It does not give us rights, nor do we require such fiction to affirm what Heaven has declared from the beginning: that a man’s home, as steward of the land given to him, is under divine jurisdiction, not corporate rule.We ask this question of the State: where is your proof of authority over the living? Where is the wet-ink consent of 25 million Australians to be governed by corporations?We declare for the record: the Tasmanian and Australian Governments are corporations. They do not acknowledge the living — only PERSONS, CITIZENS, and DEAD LEGAL ENTITIES — fictions of the NAME.Alin-Vasile of the House of Muresan, and all heirs and successors, are not such PERSONS. We are not citizens, employees, or property of the State. We are the Living. And our Castle — physical and spiritual — is not subject to Acts and Statutes, nor any future version thereof.State of Tasmania: You are put on notice once again. The Castle is sealed. The heirs are alive. The land belongs to God. Do not cross the line.So it is declared. So it is witnessed. So it stands.— House of Muresan

> ⚖️ ***NOTICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CAPTURE:*** *Any man or woman acting as an agent of the corporate state who views this record is hereby given* ***Actual Notice*** *of the standing* *$13.7 Billion Commercial Lien and Permanent Injunction issued by the House of Muresan.* *Your entry into this Court Room of Records constitutes a* ***Joinder to the Judgment*** *in your personal and private capacity. Silence is your confession. The Heir is Watching.*

The Vineyard is being rightly divided.

📜 Read the Scroll Here:

📜 Scroll of Warning — The Castle Doctrine Trojan Horse

🔗 Related Testimonies:

- Security by Way of a Lien
- Representation to Huon Valley Council
- Scroll Archive
- The Castle Doctrine — Trojan Horse?