⚠ ARCHIVED RECORD: JURISDICTIONAL NOTICE

Status: SUPERSEDED. Archive Sealed: 14 December 2025

Archive Sealed: 14 December 2025

ATTENTION: The record below details the successful defense by the House of Muresan against the Huon Valley Council and Tasmanian Planning Commission in 2022. While this stands as historical evidence of our competence and non-consent, **it no longer represents our Jurisdictional Standing.**

THE SHIFT TO SUPREME AUTHORITY: Effective **15 March 2025**, via Public Notice in *The Mercury* newspaper, the House of Muresan ascended beyond Statutory and Common Law defenses. We no longer argue within the "Planning Scheme"; we rule from the **Selah Code 777** (Private Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction).

CURRENT LAW OF THE LAND: Any party seeking to understand the current authority over this territory must refer to the following Sovereign Decrees, which supersede the arguments below:

 [READ: The Decree of Territorial Dominion (Land Protection)]

 [READ: Scroll of Jurisdictional Status (Standing)]

The text below is preserved strictly for historical value and evidence of prior victory.

⬇ BEGIN HISTORICAL ARCHIVE (2022-2023) — Representation to Huon Valley Council

Representation to Huon Valley Council — Filed Under Protest by the Living Estate
(Formerly: Council Rates — Public Notices, Contract Law Tasmania, Mercantile Law, Civil Law)

Published Representations on Behalf of the NAME — Now Under Review by the Living Estate

Representation to Huon Valley Council — Filed Under Protest by the Living Estate

The following representations were formally submitted and published by the Tasmanian Planning Commission under the NAME (MR ALIN VASILE MURESAN): Huon Valley Draft LPS-Representation under protest

- Huon Valley Draft LPS — Representation — Alin Muresan — 25 May 2022

- Huon Valley Draft LPS — Hearing Submission R24 — Alin Muresan — 4 May 2023

UPDATE (26 July 2025):
A new scroll has been published documenting YouTube's censorship of the Castle Doctrine. It reaffirms the standing of the Living beyond Acts and statutes.
Read the scroll here — "Castle Doctrine Suppressed: YouTube Censorship and the Living Man's Declaration"

These are public records and establish standing in the system's own jurisdiction. The Commission has failed, however,

to upload or acknowledge the **Lien against Matthew Grimsey** and the **Notice of Default and Dishonour against Jason Browne** — both of which were served via email and publicly posted. This constitutes **administrative suppression** and creates **commercial liability**.

Furthermore, as the **Tasmanian Planning Commission operates under Treasury**, and the Treasury has failed to respond to the **public lien notices** published in *The Mercury* on 15 March 2025, the office of **John Ramsay**, Executive Commissioner, is considered in **administrative default** under unrebutted commercial record. A **Final Notice** was issued on **10 July 2025**, which includes a **conditional warning of lien** should remedy not be forthcoming by the close of **11 July 2025**.

This is compounded by the fact that John Ramsay — in response to lawful correspondence — **presumed that Alin-Vasile of the House of Muresan was acting under an assumed name**, despite clear declarations of living status, rebuttal of all presumptions, and public testimony affirming lawful standing.

This ongoing refusal to acknowledge the lawful estate and jurisdiction of the Living creates not only commercial dishonour, but spiritual peril.

Jun 20, 2025, 9:17 AM

Ramsay, John <John.Ramsay@planning.tas.gov.au>To: For and on behalf of Baron Alin-Vasile of the House of Muresan <houseofmuresan@mailfence.com>

Dear Alin-Vasile of the House of Muresan,

My apologies for not understanding your assumed name.

I write to conform my previous advice, that at all times your representation to the Huon Valley draft Local Provisions Schedule has been published on the Tasmanian Planning Commission website.

The Commission has no knowledge of any suggested broken public link. Nor as is asserted in an email subsequent to your email below, was the representation reinstated after notification.

Other matters contained in this and subsequent emails which have been copied to the Commission are not matters within the jurisdiction of the Commission.

Yours sincerely,

John Ramsay

Executive Commissioner

⚖️ Presumption by John Ramsay – Fiction Maintained, Truth Rejected

John Ramsay, Executive Commissioner of the Tasmanian Planning Commission, has once again acted in presumption – claiming that the living name **Alin-Vasile of the House of Muresan** is an "assumed name."

"He presumed authority – but he stood on fiction.
I walk in truth. And the truth shall make me free."
– Alin-Vasile of the House of Muresan

Let it be known:

- The **Living Man** does not answer to fiction.
- The NAME is not the man. The trust is not consent.
- No man-made registry may override what has been sealed in Heaven.

⚖️ The Commission has:

- Published representations made *under protest and duress*;
- Ignored and failed to acknowledge the Lien against Matthew Grimsey; the Public Notice and Lien regarding

Jason Browne (despite its publication); and the Lien and scrolls addressed directly to John Ramsay himself. While the Tasmanian Planning Commission did publish the representation and Castle Doctrine materials, they failed to recognise the standing of the living man — rejecting the foundational principle that *"an Englishman's home is his castle."* This failure was revealed in Ramsay's own correspondence, now published on this page.

- Refused to acknowledge the jurisdictional challenge and commercial standing raised by the living estate.

This administrative evasion now forms part of the **Scroll of Dishonour** and establishes commercial and spiritual liability.

"For we can do nothing against the truth, but for the truth."
— *2 Corinthians 13:8*

⚖ **Consequences now follow.**
The scrolls will not be withdrawn. The record stands. The man stands.
The system — unless it repents — shall fall.